Common Assessment Continuum – Rate Your PLC Collaborative Teams

	The state of the s	Ш	iv	V
Don't have them.	Have them, but not all use them.	Have them, all use them, but no analysis is done.	Have them, all use them, but analysis does not lead to instructional decisions.	Have them, all use them, and collaboratively analyze data to make instructional decisions.
• How well are students doing on specific learning targets?	• Are the assessments aligned with content expectations?	Are the assessments aligned with instructional practices?	• In what ways do teachers use collaborative procedures to make informed decisions about instruction based on assessment analysis?	• In what ways do teachers collaborate to ensure alignment of common assessments and scoring rubrics with instructional practices?
• How do you know?	• Are scores on common assessments shared among team members?	 In what ways do teachers collaborate to develop common assessments and scoring rubrics? 	In what ways do teachers collaborate to develop common assessments and scoring rubrics?	What procedures are in place to engage in periodic review and updating assessments?
	• Are grading practices consistent within teams?	• In what ways are students provided the opportunity to learn the mathematics that is assessed?	• In what ways do teachers analyze and discuss alignment of assessments to standards?	
		 How are grading practices ensured to be consistent within teams? 	• How do teams ensure all students are provided the opportunity to learn the mathematics that is assessed?	